

2007 WL 2692460

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

United States District Court,
M.D. Alabama,
Northern Division.

Deborah Joyce CLACKER, Plaintiff,
v.

Gladys DEESE, et al., Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:06cv172-WHA.

|

Sept. 11, 2007.

Attorneys and Law Firms

Debra Joyce Clackler, Wetumpka, AL, pro se.

[Jeffery Harris Long](#), Office of the Attorney General, Montgomery, AL, [David Berman Block](#), [Douglas Barkley Hargett](#), [William Richard Lunsford](#), Balch & Bingham, Huntsville, AL, for Defendants.

ORDER

[W. HAROLD ALBRITTON](#), Senior United States District Judge.

***1** On August 22, 2007, the Magistrate Judge filed a Recommendation in this case to which no timely objections have been filed. (Doc. # 43). Upon an independent review of the file in this case and upon consideration of the Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED as follows:

1. That the Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge be and is hereby ADOPTED;
2. That the plaintiff's motion to dismiss be and is hereby GRANTED;
3. That this case be and is hereby DISMISSED without prejudice; and
4. That no costs be and are hereby TAXED herein.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

[CHARLES S. COODY](#), Chief United States Magistrate Judge.

On August 21, 2007, the plaintiff, Deborah Joyce Clackler ["Clackler"], filed a motion for dismissal. *Court Doc. No. 42*. Upon consideration of Clackler's motion to dismiss, the court concludes that the motion is due to be granted and this case dismissed without prejudice. See [Rule 41\(a\)\(2\), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure](#).

Dismissal without prejudice pursuant to [Rule 41\(a\)\(2\)](#) at the insistence of the plaintiff is committed to the sound discretion of this court and absent some plain legal prejudice to a defendant denial of the dismissal constitutes an abuse of this court's discretion. *McCants v. Ford Motor Company, Inc.*, 781 F.2d 855 (11th Cir.1986). Simple litigation costs, inconvenience to the defendant, and the prospect of a second or subsequent lawsuit do not constitute clear legal prejudice. *Id. See also Durham v. Florida East Coast Railway Company*, 385 F.2d 366 (5th Cir.1967).

The court has carefully reviewed the file in this case and determined that even if the defendants were provided an opportunity to file a response to the plaintiff's motion to dismiss they would not be able to demonstrate the existence of clear legal prejudice. Consequently, the court concludes that this case should be dismissed without prejudice on the motion of the plaintiff.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that:

1. The plaintiff's motion to dismiss be granted.
2. This case be dismissed without prejudice.
3. No costs be taxed herein.

It is further

ORDERED that on or before September 4, 2007 the parties may file objections to this Recommendation. Any objections filed must clearly identify the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which the party is objecting. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and advisements in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation shall bar the party from a de novo determination by the District Court of issues covered in the Recommendation and shall bar the

party from attacking on appeal factual findings in the Recommendation accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. *Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir.1982). See *Stein v. Reynolds Securities, Inc.*, 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir.1982). See also *Bonner v. City of Prichard*, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir.1981, *en banc*), adopting as binding precedent all decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed down prior to the close of business on September 30, 1981.

All Citations

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2007 WL 2692460

End of Document

© 2016 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.